Paul W. Valentine
Washington Post Bureau
10 N. Calvert St, #542
Baltimore, MD 21202

9/5/94

Dear Paul,

This will explain the enclosed and why you get it so long after I write it.

The Baltimore police, or at least its internal affairs component, whitewashed and continues to cover up violations of its own regulations and thefts from me by a policeman who was moonlighting for two writers. His name is Richard Waybright. as of my last knowledge he was still on the force. The police themselves discovered improper and I think illegal uses of its computer system which ties in with so many other such systems. As I now recall the detective who phoned me, named Adams, referred to two separate policemen they suspected. One was working for Harrison Edward Livingstone. He had brought Waybright here to do research in my records for him. Then Waybright came often and usually alone and as I do with all writing in the field, gave him full access.

When Adams phoned me several years ago I then had no specific recollection of any statement Waybright had made to me about his uses of the computer system for Livingstone. When I mentioned this to Lil she reminded me of occasions on which he had told us that he was using the police computer networks for Livingstone and even offered to do that for us, to her.

I told Adams he should speak to her, told him he is welcome to access to all my records that could be voluminous, depending on how much he would want to examine, he expressed no interest in them and did not even ask me to send him any I believed might be relevant. He did not give me an address in the event I thought of any he might want to see.

While I have no personal knowledge of it I believe the improper use of the computer systems is strictly prohibited and may be illegal. If it were known it might makes some problems for the Baltimore police.

What was stolen from me of which I know with a single exception was of interest to the second of the two writers for whom Waybright works and nobody else working in my files ever had interest in those matters. The one exception that comes to mind is what Waybright could have stolen for himself because it can have considerable value if he can make the right connection for it. It was something he would have learned about only by prowling in our basement, which he had many lengthy opportunities to do when ostensibly researching for Livingstone. Use of the stairs to our basement, where all the records I got by all those FOIA lawsuits and most of my own records are, is dangerous for both Lil and me. It has been for me for years and when Waybright was there I was then rarely going down. I almost never do now.

I have masked the name of the man in internal affairs who I know well because I do not want to involve him if that can be avoided.

What I enclose is all that I believed necessary to that writing but there is much more information on what Waybright was doing for Livingstone, all of it, as I understand the police regulations, the kind of outside work prohibited for the police. He flashed his police badge in Dallas and represented that his work there was official Baltimore police business when it obviously was not. He was inherently and by his presence directly involved in Livingstone's terrorization of an elderly and ill couple of whom the man was in terminal illness in an effort to get a false confession of involvement in the JFK assassination! By his presence there he was also involved in Livingstone's threats to others in that area because he was visibly with Livingstone then. I have several reports of his saying that he was there on police business when he spoke to people or wanted them to speak to him. I have it in writing that he threatened to kill a man and I have Livingstone's letters saying that he had or would prevent that.

He, a policeman, has to have been aware of Livingstone's repeated violations of the Maryland code that makes it a felony to allege an indictable offense that has not been charged. He did this with me more than once and in the last of his terribly bad books much of which was made possible for him by Waybright's activities, including the improper use of the police computer networks. He did it in letters, too.

When the police, probably internal affairs, decided to wipe all this out Waybright by the dates on them on a single day wrote a number of us lengthy longhand letters telling us he intended no harm to any of us and apologizing while denying all that he had done of which we knew. It was as big a lie as he could have written us and that he wrote the same letter to so many of us the same day indicates it was under instructions and perhaps that he was given the time to do them all that one day. Knowing that it was in all particulars false, internal affairs closed the book on his crimes and violations.

Lifton lives in Los Angeles. He received the stolen goods and paid for their theft from me.

The man whose name I had as "Mick" is the one who made the search for what Waybright lied about returning and he is the one who discovered that what Waybright insisted he returned had not been returned. ~~Imax~~ It was invaluable to Lifton. It is the proof that his best-selling book was not only a fake but that he knew it was a fake. I think that means he could be a fraud case but I think his main interest was in seeing that the record I had made for the record for history was no longer in my files. Nobody else had copies of it.

I think it is bad enough for a policeman, a man of public trust, ~~is~~ to be a thief and otherwise behaves badly if not illegally and that it is worse when the police cover that up, let him get away with it and keep him in his position of trust.

Livingstone, who I believe is not rational, also used a Baltimore police letterhead to complain to Baltimore's Union Memorial Hospital about a doctor on its staff who, in Livingstone's imagination, had leaked information about him. My sources on this include that doctor. I suppose the police and the hospital agreed to keep that quiet because it has been and nothing happened to Livingstone.

Livingstone, again to the knowledge of the Baltimore police, for I gave it copies, went around representing that he was part of that department. I have a number of his letters in which he actually said this or implied it as in "I am the law."

Moreover, I believe he can be dangerous. Three times I have told him not to return here but when he did after a lapse of time I feared telling him to go away. It is my concern over his potential for violence that made me happier to have Waybright doing his research for him, that and the fact that Livingstone also wasted much time for us.

Livingstone told me of a second Baltimore policeman also working for him.

If you get this it will be because the police refuse to do anything to right the wrongs done by one of them, by them also, and in not recovering my stolen property for me. I think that in the normal course of police affairs they could get the LA police to do that when the case against Lifton is worse than merely accepting stolen property. He has to have directed what Waybright stole for him.

Our best, and hope you can make it here soon,

Harold

Harold Weisberg